1	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP			
2	DAVID M. FURBUSH 83447 JAMES M. LINDFELT 275352			
3	2550 Hanover Street Palo Alto, CA 94304-1115			
4	Telephone: 650.233.4500			
5	Facsimile: 650.233.4545			
6	Attorneys for Alliance Fiber Optic Products, Inc., Peter Chang, Gwong-Yih Lee, James Yeh, Richard Black, Ray Sun			
7				
8				
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA			
11	STEPHEN BUSHANSKY, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	Case No. 16CV294245		
12	Plaintiff,	Case 110. 10C v 274243		
13	VS.	CLASS ACTION		
14	vs.			
15	ALLIANCE FIBER OPTICS PRODUCTS, INC., PETER C. CHANG, GWONG-YIH LEE, JAMES C. YEH, RICHARD B. BLACK, RAY	STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT  Department: 1		
15 16	INC., PETER C. CHANG, GWONG-YIH LEE, JAMES C. YEH, RICHARD B. BLACK, RAY SUN, CORNING INCORPORATED, APRICOT MERGER COMPANY and DOES	STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT  Department: 1 Judge: Hon. Brian C. Walsh		
	INC., PETER C. CHANG, GWONG-YIH LEE, JAMES C. YEH, RICHARD B. BLACK, RAY SUN, CORNING INCORPORATED, APRICOT MERGER COMPANY and DOES 1-25, inclusive,	Department: 1		
16	INC., PETER C. CHANG, GWONG-YIH LEE, JAMES C. YEH, RICHARD B. BLACK, RAY SUN, CORNING INCORPORATED, APRICOT MERGER COMPANY and DOES	Department: 1		
16 17	INC., PETER C. CHANG, GWONG-YIH LEE, JAMES C. YEH, RICHARD B. BLACK, RAY SUN, CORNING INCORPORATED, APRICOT MERGER COMPANY and DOES 1-25, inclusive,  Defendants.  RUDY LUCK, Individually and On Behalf of All	Department: 1 Judge: Hon. Brian C. Walsh		
16 17 18	INC., PETER C. CHANG, GWONG-YIH LEE, JAMES C. YEH, RICHARD B. BLACK, RAY SUN, CORNING INCORPORATED, APRICOT MERGER COMPANY and DOES 1-25, inclusive,  Defendants.	Department: 1		
16 17 18 19	INC., PETER C. CHANG, GWONG-YIH LEE, JAMES C. YEH, RICHARD B. BLACK, RAY SUN, CORNING INCORPORATED, APRICOT MERGER COMPANY and DOES 1-25, inclusive,  Defendants.  RUDY LUCK, Individually and On Behalf of All	Department: 1 Judge: Hon. Brian C. Walsh  Case No. 16CV294418		
16 17 18 19 20	INC., PETER C. CHANG, GWONG-YIH LEE, JAMES C. YEH, RICHARD B. BLACK, RAY SUN, CORNING INCORPORATED, APRICOT MERGER COMPANY and DOES 1-25, inclusive, Defendants.  RUDY LUCK, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	Department: 1 Judge: Hon. Brian C. Walsh		
16 17 18 19 20 21	INC., PETER C. CHANG, GWONG-YIH LEE, JAMES C. YEH, RICHARD B. BLACK, RAY SUN, CORNING INCORPORATED, APRICOT MERGER COMPANY and DOES 1-25, inclusive, Defendants.  RUDY LUCK, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiff, vs.  ALLIANCE FIBER OPTICS PRODUCTS, INC.,	Department: 1 Judge: Hon. Brian C. Walsh  Case No. 16CV294418		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	INC., PETER C. CHANG, GWONG-YIH LEE, JAMES C. YEH, RICHARD B. BLACK, RAY SUN, CORNING INCORPORATED, APRICOT MERGER COMPANY and DOES 1-25, inclusive, Defendants.  RUDY LUCK, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,  Plaintiff, vs.  ALLIANCE FIBER OPTICS PRODUCTS, INC., PETER C. CHANG, GWONG-YIH LEE, JAMES C. YEH, RICHARD B. BLACK, RAY SUN,	Department: 1 Judge: Hon. Brian C. Walsh  Case No. 16CV294418		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	INC., PETER C. CHANG, GWONG-YIH LEE, JAMES C. YEH, RICHARD B. BLACK, RAY SUN, CORNING INCORPORATED, APRICOT MERGER COMPANY and DOES 1-25, inclusive, Defendants.  RUDY LUCK, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiff, vs.  ALLIANCE FIBER OPTICS PRODUCTS, INC., PETER C. CHANG, GWONG-YIH LEE, JAMES	Department: 1 Judge: Hon. Brian C. Walsh  Case No. 16CV294418		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	INC., PETER C. CHANG, GWONG-YIH LEE, JAMES C. YEH, RICHARD B. BLACK, RAY SUN, CORNING INCORPORATED, APRICOT MERGER COMPANY and DOES 1-25, inclusive, Defendants.  RUDY LUCK, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiff, vs.  ALLIANCE FIBER OPTICS PRODUCTS, INC., PETER C. CHANG, GWONG-YIH LEE, JAMES C. YEH, RICHARD B. BLACK, RAY SUN, CORNING INCORPORATED, APRICOT	Department: 1 Judge: Hon. Brian C. Walsh  Case No. 16CV294418		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	INC., PETER C. CHANG, GWONG-YIH LEE, JAMES C. YEH, RICHARD B. BLACK, RAY SUN, CORNING INCORPORATED, APRICOT MERGER COMPANY and DOES 1-25, inclusive,  Defendants.  RUDY LUCK, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,  Plaintiff,  vs.  ALLIANCE FIBER OPTICS PRODUCTS, INC., PETER C. CHANG, GWONG-YIH LEE, JAMES C. YEH, RICHARD B. BLACK, RAY SUN, CORNING INCORPORATED, APRICOT MERGER COMPANY and DOES 1-25, inclusive,	Department: 1 Judge: Hon. Brian C. Walsh  Case No. 16CV294418		

STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT

1	RICK DOERR, On Behalf of Himself and All	Case No. 16CV294681
2	Others Similarly Situated,	CLASS ACTION
3	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION
4	VS.	
5	PETER C. CHANG, GWONG-YIH LEE, JAMES	
6	C. YEH, RICHARD B. BLACK, and RAY SUN,	
7	Defendants.	
8	BAHMAN KHAKI, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	Case No. 16CV294833
0	An Others Similarly Situated,	Case 110. 10C 1274033
9	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION
10	VS.	
11	ALLIANCE FIBER OPTICS PRODUCTS, INC.,	
12	PETER C. CHANG, GWONG-YIH LEE, JAMES	
	C. YEH, RICHARD B. BLACK, RAY SUN,	
13	CORNING INCORPORATED, APRICOT	
13 14		
	CORNING INCORPORATED, APRICOT	

This Stipulation of Settlement dated as of August 28, 2017 (the "Stipulation"), is made and entered into by and among the following Settling Parties (as defined further in Section 1.24 hereof) to the above-entitled litigation: (i) Plaintiffs Stephen Bushansky, Rudy Luck, Rick Doerr and Bahman Khaki (on behalf of themselves and the Settlement Class Members), by and through their counsel of record in this litigation and (ii) Defendants (defined below), by and through their counsel. The Stipulation is intended by the Settling Parties to fully, finally and forever resolve, discharge, and settle the Released Claims, upon and subject to the terms and conditions hereof.

#### I. THE LITIGATION

On April 7, 2016, Corning Incorporated ("Corning") and Alliance Fiber Optics Products, Inc. ("AFOP") entered into the Merger Agreement whereby Corning through Apricot Merger Company ("Apricot") commenced a tender offer ("Tender Offer") to purchase all of the

outstanding shares of AFOP at a price of \$18.50 per share, in an all-cash transaction valued at approximately \$305 million ("Proposed Transaction").

Corning launched the Tender Offer on April 7, 2016. On the same day AFOP filed its Schedule 14D-9 Solicitation/Recommendation Statement ("Schedule 14D-9") and Schedule TO setting forth the terms of the Tender Offer.

On April 22, 2016, a complaint captioned *Stephen Bushansky v. Alliance Fiber Optic Products, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 16-CV-294245 ("Bushansky Action") was filed in the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara naming as defendants AFOP, the Individual Defendants, Corning and Apricot. On April 27, 2016, a complaint captioned *Rudy Luck v. Alliance Fiber Optic Production, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 16-CV-294418 ("Luck Action") was filed in the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara naming as defendants AFOP, the Individual Defendants, Corning and Apricot. On May 3, 2016, a complaint captioned *Rick Doerr v. Peter C. Chang, et al.*, Case No. 16-CV-294681 ("Doerr Action") was filed in the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara naming as defendants the Individual Defendants. On May 6, 2016, a complaint captioned *Bahman Khaki v. Alliance Optic Products, et al.*, Case No. 16-CV-294833 ("Khaki Action") was filed in the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara naming as defendants AFOP, the Individual Defendants, Corning and Apricot. These actions purport to be class actions brought by shareholders alleging, among other things, that the Individual Defendants breached their fiduciary duties and that AFOP, Corning and Apricot aided and abetted these alleged breaches of fiduciary duty.

Plaintiffs sought the production of certain highly relevant confidential and non-public documents regarding the Proposed Transaction in anticipation of moving the Court to enjoin the Tender Offer, engaged Defendants in arm's length negotiations pertaining to production of same while also negotiating the terms of a Confidentiality Order governing the production of confidential materials.

Between May 4, 2016 and May 25, 2016, the Individual Defendants produced certain agreed-upon confidential documents in expedited discovery, including minutes of meetings of the AFOP Board of Directors ("AFOP Board"), presentations given to the AFOP Board by its

financial advisor Cowen and Company, LLC ("Cowen"), non-disclosure agreements with prospective bidders, and Cowen's engagement and reengagement letters to act as AFOP's financial advisor.

Plaintiffs' Counsel retained a financial and valuation expert for the purpose of evaluating and prosecuting the claims in the Actions, including with respect to discovery obtained from the Individual Defendants.

After Plaintiffs and their counsel investigated their claims, evaluated the Company's public filings and other publicly available information, the confidential documents that Defendants produced on an expedited basis, and consulted with their valuation experts, Plaintiffs engaged in arm's-length negotiations concerning a possible settlement of the Actions. As a result of good-faith discussions and arm's-length negotiations, the parties entered into a Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU"), pursuant to which Defendants agreed to (and did) disseminate supplemental disclosures to AFOP stockholders in an amended Schedule 14D-9 in advance of the scheduled expiration of the Tender Offer and AFOP, with the consent of Corning, waived the standstill provision (the "Standstill") in the Confidentiality Agreement with the party identified in the Schedule 14D-9 as Party B (the true identity of which is known to the Parties), dated October 15, 2014 and amended September 9, 2015, to the extent that it prohibits Party B from making any confidential proposal or offer to acquire the Company ("Standstill Waiver").

The settlement set forth herein reflects the results of the parties' negotiations and the terms of the MOU. An agreement-in-principle was reached only after arm's-length negotiations between the parties, all of whom were represented by counsel with extensive experience and expertise in stockholder class-action litigation. During the negotiations, all parties had a clear view of the strengths and weaknesses of their respective claims and defenses. Plaintiffs and their counsel believe that the settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate.

#### II. CLAIMS OF THE PLAINTIFFS AND BENEFITS OF SETTLEMENT

The Plaintiffs believe that the claims asserted in the Actions have had merit at all relevant times. However, Plaintiffs recognize the expense and length of continued proceedings necessary to prosecute the Actions against the Defendants through trial and through appeals. Plaintiffs also

have taken into account the uncertain outcome and the risk of any litigation, especially in complex actions such as these Actions, as well as the difficulties and delays inherent in such litigation. Plaintiffs also are mindful of the inherent problems of proof and possible defenses to the claims asserted in the Actions. Plaintiffs believe, and Defendants acknowledge, that the settlement confers substantial benefits on the Settlement Class, including particularly the benefits resulting from the supplemental disclosures issued pursuant to the settlement and the Standstill Waiver. Based on their evaluation, Plaintiffs and their counsel have determined that the settlement set forth in this Stipulation is in the best interests of the Settlement Class.

### III. DEFENDANTS' DENIAL OF WRONGDOING AND LIABILITY

The Defendants have vigorously denied, and continue to vigorously deny, any wrongdoing or liability with respect to all claims asserted in the Actions, including that they have committed any violations of law, that they have acted improperly in any way, and that they have any liability or owe any damages of any kind to the Plaintiffs and the Members of the Settlement Class, but Defendants entered into the MOU and are executing this Stipulation solely because they consider it desirable that the Actions be settled and a Judgment entered to: (i) eliminate the burden, inconvenience, expense, risk and distraction of further litigation; and (ii) finally put to rest and terminate all the claims which were or could have been asserted against the Defendants in the Actions.

## IV. TERMS OF STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and among the Plaintiffs (for themselves and the Settlement Class Members) and Defendants, by and through their attorneys of record, that, subject to the approval of the Court, the Actions shall be finally and fully compromised, settled, and released, and Judgement entered, as to all Settling Parties, upon and subject to the terms and conditions of the Stipulation, as follows.

### 1. Definitions

As used in the Stipulation the following terms have the meanings specified below:

1.1 "Acquisition" means the Merger Agreement and any amendments thereto among Corning and AFOP whereby AFOP would be acquired by Corning in a cash

1.19

limited liability company or partnership, association, joint stock company, estate, legal representative, trust, unincorporated association, government or any political subdivision or agency thereof, and any business or legal entity and their spouses, heirs, predecessors, successors, representatives, or assignees.

- 1.17 "Plaintiffs" means Stephen Bushansky, Rudy Luck, Rick Doerr, and Bahman Khaki.
- 1.18 "Plaintiffs' Counsel" means counsel for Plaintiffs Bushanksy, Luck, Doerr and Khaki.
  - "Released Claims" shall collectively mean the full and complete discharge, settlement and release of all claims, demands, rights, actions, causes of action, liabilities, damages, losses, obligations, judgments, duties, suits, costs, expenses, matters, and issues known or unknown, contingent or absolute, suspected or unsuspected, disclosed or undisclosed, liquidated or unliquidated, matured or unmatured, accrued or unaccrued, apparent or unapparent, foreseen or unforeseen, fixed or contingent, including Unknown Claims (defined below), that have been, could have been, or in the future can or might be asserted in any court, tribunal, or proceeding (including but not limited to any claims arising under federal, state, foreign, statutory, regulatory, common law or other law or rule, including the federal securities laws and any state disclosure law), by or on behalf of Plaintiffs or any member of the Settlement Class, whether individual, direct, class, derivative, representative, legal, equitable, or any other type in their capacity as an AFOP stockholder (collectively, the "Releasing Persons") against any or all of the Released Persons, which the Releasing Persons ever had, now have, or may have, that arise out of any of the allegations, facts, practices, matters, occurrences, statements, representations, events, transactions or acts, that are related, directly or indirectly, to the Actions, or the subject matter thereof, in any court tribunal, forum or proceeding, including, without limitation, any and all claims that are based upon, arise out of, relate in any way to, or involve, directly or indirectly, any of the

Date, the Plaintiffs shall expressly, and each of the Settlement Class Members shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, waived and relinquished, to the extent applicable, and to the fullest extent permitted by law, the provisions, rights, and benefits of California Civil Code § 1542, which provides:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Upon the Effective Date, the Plaintiffs and each of the Settlement Class Members shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, expressly waived any and all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by any law of any state or territory of the United States, any federal law or regulation, or any principle of common law or international or foreign law, which is similar, comparable or equivalent to California Civil Code § 1542. The Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Members may hereafter discover facts in addition to or different from those which he, she, or it now knows or believes to be true with respect to the subject matter of the Released Claims, but the Plaintiffs shall expressly have and each Settlement Class Member, upon the Effective Date, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever settled and released any and all Released Claims, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or non-contingent, whether or not concealed or hidden, which now exist, or heretofore have existed, upon any theory of law or equity now existing or coming into existence in the future, including, but not limited to, conduct which is negligent, intentional, with or without malice, or a breach of any duty, law, or rule, without regard to the subsequent discovery or existence of such different or additional facts. The Settling Parties acknowledge, and the Settlement Class Members shall be deemed by operation of the Judgment to have acknowledged, that the foregoing waiver was separately bargained for and a

Plaintiffs, the Settlement Class, or Plaintiffs' Counsel any amount in excess of any Attorneys' Fee Award granted by the Court, for attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses in connection with the Actions (other than those incurred in disseminating the settlement notice pursuant to ¶¶ 3.1 and 3.2 hereof, which notice shall be prepared and mailed at AFOP's expense), and in no event shall any Defendant other than AFOP or its successors be obliged to pay any part of any Attorneys' Fee Award granted by the Court or any of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses. The settlement, however, is not in any way conditioned on the Court granting an Attorneys' Fee Award.

2.3 The Settling Parties agree, for purposes of this settlement only, to the certification of a Settlement Class under § 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure.

# 3. <u>Notice Order and Settlement Hearing</u>

- 3.1 As soon as practicable upon execution of this Stipulation, Bushansky's Counsel shall submit the Stipulation together with its Exhibits to the Court and shall apply for entry of an order (the "Notice Order"), substantially in the form of Exhibit B hereto, requesting, *inter alia*, certification of the non-opt-out Settlement Class pursuant to § 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure, preliminary approval of the settlement set forth in the Stipulation, and approval for the mailing of a settlement notice, substantially in the form of Exhibit B-1 attached hereto, which shall include the general terms of the settlement set forth in the Stipulation and the date of the Settlement Hearing as defined below.
- 3.2 AFOP or its successor shall undertake the administrative responsibility for giving notice to the Settlement Class by mail, or as otherwise required by this Court, and is authorized to hire a notice agent for purposes of affecting such notice. All costs in providing requisite notice to Settlement Class Members shall be paid by, or caused to be paid by, AFOP or its successor. Prior to the Settlement Hearing, counsel for AFOP shall file with the Court an appropriate affidavit or declaration with respect to preparing and mailing the settlement notice to the Settlement Class.

3.3 Bushansky's Counsel shall request that the Court hold the Settlement Hearing after notice is given and give Final Approval of the settlement of the Actions as set forth herein.

## 4. Releases

4.1 Upon the Effective Date, as defined in ¶ 1.7 hereof, the Plaintiffs and each of the Settlement Class Members shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged all Released Claims (including Unknown Claims) against the Released Persons. By operation of the entry of Judgment, upon the Effective Date, Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class Members agree to waive and shall be deemed to have waived any and all rights and benefits which they now have, or in the future may have by virtue of the provisions of § 1542 of the California Civil Code and any other similar law or provision with respect to the Released Claims, which section provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

- 4.2 Upon the Effective Date, the Plaintiffs and each of the Settlement Class Members shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, expressly waived any and all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by any law of any state or territory of the United States, or principle of common law or international or foreign law, which is similar to California Civil Code § 1542.
- 4.3 Upon the Effective Date, each of the Released Persons shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged, Plaintiffs, each and all of the Settlement Class Members, and Plaintiffs' Counsel from all claims, demands, rights, actions, sanctions, causes of action, liabilities, damages, losses, obligations, judgments,

suits, fees, expenses, costs, matters and issues of any kind or nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, contingent or absolute, suspected or unsuspected, disclosed or undisclosed, hidden or concealed, matured or unmatured, based upon or arising out of the investigation, institution, prosecution, assertion, settlement or resolution of the Actions or the Released Claims; provided, however, that any Released Person retains the right to enforce the terms of the Stipulation.

## 5. Plaintiffs' Counsel's Attorneys' Fees and Expenses

After agreeing to all of the substantive terms of settlement contained in this 5.1 Stipulation, the parties sought to negotiate the amount of attorneys' fees and expenses that, subject to Court approval, would be paid to Plaintiffs' Counsel. As of the date of this Stipulation, the parties have not agreed to an amount for attorneys' fee and expenses but have agreed to mediate this dispute on September 26, 2017 before Robert A. Meyer, Esq. of JAMS. In the event, the parties are not able to resolve the dispute over fees, Plaintiffs intend to petition the Court for a Fee Award not to exceed \$2,000,000 (the "Fee Application"). Defendants intend to oppose such Fee Application. AFOP (or any successor thereto), on behalf of Defendants, has agreed to pay, or cause to be paid, an Attorneys' Fee Award if such an award is granted by the Court. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the Stipulation, in no event shall AFOP or its successors be obliged to pay to Plaintiffs, the Settlement Class or Plaintiffs' Counsel any amount in excess of any Attorney Fee Award granted by this Court for attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses in connection with the Actions (other than those incurred in disseminating the settlement notice pursuant to ¶¶ 3.1 and 3.2 hereof, which notice shall be prepared and mailed at AFOPs' expense), and in no event shall any Defendant other than AFOP or its successors be obliged to pay any part of an Attorneys' Fee Award, in the event one is granted or any of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses. The settlement, however, is not in any way conditioned on the Court granting an Attorneys' Fee Award. Except for an Attorneys' Fee Award and the costs incurred 5.2

in providing notice to the Settlement Class as provided for in this Stipulation, there shall be no obligation on the part of any Person to pay any fees, costs, or expenses, including attorneys' fees, to Plaintiffs' Counsel or to Plaintiffs or any other Member of the Settlement Class.

In the event the Court grants an Attorneys' Fee Award, it shall be paid, or caused to be paid, by AFOP (or its successors) and its insurers, on behalf of Defendants, to an account designated by Plaintiff's Counsel within thirty (30) calendar days of entry of Judgment in any of the Actions. Any such payments shall be made subject to Plaintiffs' Counsel's respective obligations to make refunds or repayment to AFOP (or any successor entity) if any specified condition to the settlement is not satisfied or, as a result of any appeal and/or further proceedings on remand, or successful collateral attack, any Judgment is reversed or the fee or costs award is reduced or reversed. Payment by or on behalf of AFOP (or any successor entity or issuer) of the attorneys' fees and expenses to Plaintiffs' Counsel will discharge in full any obligation of AFOP or its successors to pay any and all attorneys' fees or expenses for any and all attorneys representing Plaintiffs or the Settlement Class. In the event that (i) the Court awards attorneys' fees and expenses, and (ii) AFOP or its successor, on behalf of Defendants, does not pay, or cause to be paid, such Attorneys' Fee Award in accordance with the terms of this Stipulation, the parties agree that the Court shall retain jurisdiction over the award of attorneys' fees and expenses prior to or following the Effective Date of the settlement, as defined in ¶ 6.1 hereof, and upon motion may issue any orders necessary to enforce any such award of attorneys' fees and expenses. Notwithstanding that the Court shall retain jurisdiction in this regard, the Effective Date of the settlement is not conditioned on the award or payment of any such attorneys' fees or expenses. Plaintiffs' Counsel in the Actions shall allocate any Attorneys' Fee Award among themselves, subject to the agreements amongst Plaintiffs' Counsel.

5.3 In the event that the Effective Date does not occur, or the Judgment is reversed or modified on appeal, then Plaintiffs' Counsel shall, within ten (10) business days from receiving notice from Defendants' counsel or from a court of appropriate jurisdiction, refund to AFOP any fees and expenses previously paid consistent with such reversal or modification, plus interest earned thereon. Additionally, if the Settlement is terminated or otherwise does not obtain Final Approval for any reason, Plaintiffs' Counsel reserve the right to seek a mootness fee and expense award from the Court in connection with the Supplemental Disclosures and the Standstill Waiver, and Defendants reserve the right to oppose such a mootness fee application.

# 6. <u>Conditions of Settlement and Effect of Disapproval, Cancellation, or Termination</u>

- 6.1 The Effective Date of the settlement shall be conditioned on the occurrence of all of the following events:
  - a. the Court has entered the Notice Order, as required by  $\P$  3.1 hereof;
  - b. Defendants have not exercised their right to terminate the Stipulation pursuant to  $\P$  6.3 hereof;
  - c. this Court has entered the Judgment, or a judgment substantially in the form of Exhibit C attached hereto; and
  - d. the Judgment or a judgment substantially in the form of Exhibit C attached hereto has become Final as defined in ¶ 1.8 hereof.
- 6.2 If all of the conditions specified in ¶ 6.1 hereof are not satisfied, then the Stipulation shall be canceled and terminated subject to ¶ 6.3 hereof, unless Plaintiffs' Counsel and counsel for Defendants mutually agree in writing to proceed with the Stipulation.
- 6.3 Defendants shall have the right to withdraw from the Stipulation in the event that

  (ii) any Released Claim is commenced or prosecuted against any of the Defendants

  in any court prior to Final Approval of the settlement, and (following a motion by
  the Defendants) Judgment is not entered on any such claim. In the event that any

any Settling Party as to the merits of any claim or defense. The Settling Parties agree that the settlement was negotiated in good faith by the Settling Parties, and reflects a settlement that was reached voluntarily after consultation with competent legal counsel. The Settling Parties reserve their right to rebut, in a manner that such party determines to be appropriate, any contention made in any public forum that the Actions were brought or defended in bad faith or without a reasonable basis.

7.3 Neither the Stipulation nor the settlement contained therein, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Stipulation or the settlement: (a) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, the validity or lack thereof of any Released Claim, or of any wrongdoing or liability of the Defendants or any Released Person; or (b) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, any fault or omission of any of the Defendants or any Released Person, in any civil, criminal, or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency, or other tribunal. The Released Persons may file the Stipulation and/or the Judgment in any action that may be brought against them to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, good-faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim. If any Released Claims are asserted against any Released Person in any court prior to final Court approval of the settlement in the Actions, or if any claims are asserted which challenge the settlement set forth in this Stipulation, the Merger Agreement, or any of the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement, the parties shall use their best efforts to effect a withdrawal or dismissal of the claims or oppose entry of any interim or final relief in favor of any Member of the Settlement Class.

7.4 Upon the Effective Date of the settlement as set forth in ¶ 6.1 hereof, and receipt of a written request from counsel for Defendants, Plaintiffs' Counsel agree that

within ten (10) calendar days of receipt of such written request, they will destroy

1

counterparts shall be deemed to be one and the same instrument.

- 7.11 The Stipulation shall be binding on, and inure to the benefit of, the parties (including Members of the Settlement Class) hereto, and their respective agents, executors, heirs, successors, and assigns; provided, however, that no party shall assign or delegate its rights or responsibilities under this Stipulation without the prior written consent of the other parties hereto. The Defendants' Affiliates are intended third-party beneficiaries under this Stipulation entitled to enforce this Stipulation in accordance with its terms.
- 7.12 The Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to implementation and enforcement of the terms of the Stipulation, and all parties hereto submit to the jurisdiction of the Court for purposes of implementing and enforcing the settlement embodied in the Stipulation.
- 7.13 The Stipulation and settlement contemplated by it, and all disputes arising out of or relating to them, shall be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the substantive laws of the State of California and the procedural laws of the State of California.
- 7.14 The Settling Parties intend to be bound by this settlement agreement regardless of any intervening change in applicable law.
- 7.15 Plaintiffs represent and warrant that they have been stockholders of AFOP throughout the period covered by the Actions and the settlement and have not assigned, encumbered, or in any manner transferred in whole or in part the claims in the Actions.
- 7.16 All agreements made and orders entered during the course of the Actions relating to the confidentiality of information shall survive this Stipulation.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused the Stipulation to be executed, by their duly authorized attorneys, effective as of August 24, 2017.

1	Dated: August 28, 2017		WEISSLAW LLP
2			noce figures full
3 4		By:	Joel Elkins 9107 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 450 Beverly Hills, CA 90210
5			
6			Richard A. Acocelli 1500 Broadway, 16 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10036
7			Attorneys for Stephen Bushansky
8			Thiorneys for Biephen Bushansky
9	Dated: August 28, 2017		LEVI & KORSINSKY LLP
10			LEVI & KORSINSKY LLP
11	=	By:	Adam C. McCall
12			445 South Figueroa Street, 31 <sup>st</sup> Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071
13			Donald J. Enright
14			1101 30 <sup>th</sup> St, NW
15			Suite 115 Washington, DC 20007
16			Attorneys for Rudy Luck
17			
18	Dated: August 28, 2017		FARUQI & FARUQI, LLP
19			Tellera A. Tola / Will
20		By:	The state of the s
21			Barbara A. Rohr
22			10866 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1470 Los Angeles, CA 90024
23			James M. Wilson, Jr.
24			685 Third Ave, 26 <sup>th</sup> Floor
25			New York, NY 10017
26			Attorneys for Rick Doerr
27			
28		2	

1	Dated: August 28, 2017		BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC
2			Chil
3		By:	Evan J. Smith 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 900
4			Beverly Hills, CA 90212
5			Attorney for Bahman Khaki
6			
7 8	Dated: August 28, 2017		PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
9		D.,,	David M. Furbush
10		By:	James M. Lindfelt 2550 Hanover Street
11			Palo Alto, CA 94304
12			Attorneys for Alliance Fiber Optic
13			Products, Inc., Peter Chang, Gwong-Yih Lee, James Yeh, Richard Black, Ray Sun
14	Dated: August 28, 2017		SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP
15		D ~~ 2	AL C C F
16		Ву:	Alan S. Goudiss 599 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10022
17			Attorneys for Corning Incorporated,
18			Apricot Merger Company
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		23	

	- 1		
1	Dated: August 28, 2017		BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC
2			
3		By:	Evan J. Smith
4			9595 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212
5			Attorney for Bahman Khaki
6			
7	Dated: August 28, 2017		PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
8			
9		B <b>(</b> :	David M. Furbush James M. Lindfelt
10			2550 Hanover Street
11			Palo Alto, CA 94304
12			Attorneys for Alliance Fiber Optic Products, Inc., Peter Chang, Gwong-Yih
13			Lee, James Yeh, Richard Black, Ray Sun
14	Dated: August 28, 2017		SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP
15		By:	Alan S. Goudiss Alan S. Goudiss
16		•	599 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10022
17			Attorneys for Corning Incorporated,
18			Apricot Merger Company
19	6		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24		X.	
25			
26			
27			
28			
		23	